SENECA SENIOR HIGH 100 Bobcat Ridge Seneca, SC 29678 9-12 High School GRADES 1,023 Students ENROLLMENT Randy Counts 864-885-5000 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Valerie Truesdale 864-638-4000 Harry B. Mays, Jr. 864-972-2136 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: G00D Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 13 14 0 0 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: AVERAGE ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: Z This school met 11 out of 19 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Excellent	Good	N/A
2002	Excellent	Excellent	N/A
2003	Excellent	Good	No
2004	Good	Average	No

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006
Passed 2 subtests	82.6	N/A	N/A	78.1	N/A	N/A
Passed 1 subtest	8.1	N/A	N/A	11.0	N/A	N/A
Passed no subtests	9.3	N/A	N/A	10.8	N/A	N/A

Our School High Schools with Students Like Ours Percent 97.1% 95.7%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP							
Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours						
23.9	17.5						
25.5	18.0						
55.4	56.1						
	23.9						

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	218	263		
Number of Diplomas	169	207		
Rate	77.5%	79.4%		

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS										
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Gra	Graduation Rate				
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective			
All Students	209	97.1	184	23.9	218	77.5	YES			
Gender										
Male	98	98.0	79	20.3	95	76.8	N/A			
Female	111	96.4	105	26.7	123	78.0	N/A			
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	155	98.1	133	28.6	160	77.5	N/A			
African-American	44	95.5	46	8.7	47	76.6				
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	I/S	2	I/S	3	I/S	N/A			
Hispanic	7	85.7	2	I/S	7	71.4	N/A			
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	1	I/S	1	I/S	N/A			
Racial/Ethnic Group										
Non disabled	190	96.8	156	28.2	185	83.8	N/A			
Disabilities other than speech	19	100.0	28	0.0	33	42.4	N/A			
Migrant Status										
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A			
Non-migrant	209	97.1	184	23.9	N/A	N/A	N/A			
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	3	I/S	0	N/A	3	I/S	N/A			
Non-Limited English Proficient	203	98.5	184	23.9	213	77.5	N/A			
Socio-Economic Status										
Subsidized meals	38	97.4	43	9.3	59	59.3	N/A			
Full-pay meals	170	97.6	141	28.4	159	84.3	N/A			

Seneca Senior High 3701005

HSAP PERFORMANCE	BY GRO	JUP	-,-	-,-		-,-			-,
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	% Below Basis	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation Participation
	<u> </u>	ig ig	1 10	<i>B</i> a	/ ½	l'ai	[g]	}	<u> </u>
	16.5	/ %	/ 8	/ %	/ %	/ %	\g \psi_	[] [] [] [] [] []	. <u>a</u>
	1 4 0	/	/ %	/	/	/ ``	% ₺	/ `	/ '
Engl	ish/Langua	ge Arts - S			Objective	= 33.3%			
All Students	292	92.5	11.9	23.8	32.3	32.0	72.9	YES	N
Gender									
Male	153	92.8	14.8	26.8	31.0	27.5	68.3	N/A	N/
emale	139	92.1	8.7	20.5	33.9	37.0	78.0	N/A	N/
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	189	94.7	6.7	18.0	33.1	42.1	83.1	YES	YE
African-American	91	89.0	21.0	37.0	29.6	12.3	51.9	YES	YE
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	l,
Hispanic	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I,
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	l,
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	244	93.0	4.0	22.1	37.2	36.7	82.3	N/A	N.
Disabled	48	89.6	53.5	32.6	7.0	7.0	23.3	I/S	N
Migrant Status		,	,		,			,	
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N.
Non-Migrant	292	92.5	11.9	23.8	32.3	32.0	72.9	N/A	N.
English Proficiency			,						
imited English Proficient	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I,
Non-Limited English Proficient	286	92.7	11.4	23.9	32.2	32.6	73.5	N/A	N.
Socio-Economic Status			,						
Subsidized meals	101	89.1	24.7	34.8	24.7	15.7	50.6	YES	N
Full-pay meals	191	94.2	5.6	18.3	36.1	40.0	83.9	N/A	N.
	Mathemati	cs - State	Performa	nce Obje	ctive = 30	.0%			
All Students	292	91.1	13.6	27.5	30.9	27.9	68.3	YES	N
Gender									
Male	153	92.2	14.9	22.7	34.8	27.7	68.8	N/A	N.
emale	139	89.9	12.1	33.1	26.6	28.2	67.7	N/A	N.
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	189	93.7	9.1	18.2	34.7	38.1	80.7	YES	N
African-American	91	87.9	23.8	50.0	21.3	5.0	40.0	YES	N
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I,
Hispanic	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	ı
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I.
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	244	91.4	5.4	26.6	35.1	32.9	78.8	N/A	N.
Disabled	48	89.6	55.8	32.6	9.3	2.3	14.0	I/S	N
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N.
Non-Migrant	292	91.1	13.6	27.5	30.9	27.9	68.3	N/A	N.
English Proficiency									
imited English Proficient	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I
Non-Limited English Proficient	286	91.6	13.8	28.0	30.3	28.0	67.8	N/A	N.
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	101	86.1	29.1	39.5	24.4	7.0	46.5	YES	N
Full-pay meals	191	93.7	6.1	21.8	34.1	38.0	78.8	N/A	N

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

Seneca Senior High				3701005
SCHOOL PROFILE				
eeneer kenee	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 1,023)				
Retention rate	5.0% 97.2%	Up from 3.6%	7.5% 96.2%	9.1%
Attendance rate		Down from 97.9%		96.0%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	13.6% 15.7%	Down from 13.7% Up from 15.2%	13.0% 13.6%	5.8% 12.7%
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	8.2% 5.8%	Up from 7.2% Up from 4.8%	7.4% 2.5%	9.8% 1.6%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	11.3%	Down from 13.4%	17.0%	10.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	52.5%	Bown 110.170	53.6%	53.8%
Annual dropout rate	11.0%	Up from 3.4%	2.5%	2.7%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.0%	No change	1.4%	3.6%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	539	Up from 494	579	466
Students participating in worked-based experiences	26.0%	Down from 26.2%	25.9%	25.7%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	71.0%	Down from 71.5%	78.4%	77.7%
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	100.0%	99.3%
Teachers (n= 57)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	57.9%	Down from 58.6%	57.3%	52.0%
Continuing contract teachers	80.7%	Down from 84.5%	83.0%	82.1%
Highly qualified teachers** Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	85.0% 7.8%	N/A	89.7% 7.1%	89.5% 8.6%
Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate	87.6% 96.7%	Down from 88.9% Up from 96.4%	88.5% 95.5%	86.2% 95.3%
Average teacher salary	\$43,600	Up 0.1%	\$41,772	\$41,060
Prof. development days/teacher	8.8 days	Down from 8.9 days	10.7 days	10.6 days
School				
Principal's years at school	4.0	Up from 3.0	3.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	N/R	N/R	28.8 to 1	26.4 to 1
Prime instructional time	92.7% \$6,131	Down from 93.1% Down 2.2%	91.0%	90.0%
Dollars spent per pupil* Percent of expenditures for teacher	56.8%	Up from 50.8%	\$6,111 58.1%	\$6,310 57.9%
salaries*		•		
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	No change	Excellent	Excellent
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.0% Yes	No change No change	85.4% Yes	89.3% Yes
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Average	N/A	Good	Good
		Our District	strict State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools**		91.0%	92	.0%
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools**		N/A		.1%
		State Objective		Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school**		65.0%		es
Student attendance in this school	95.3%	Y	es	

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

Seneca Senior High 37010

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The State of South Carolina rated SHS "Excellent" on the 2001, 2002, and 2003 school report cards. Seneca High School was recognized as one of the top four high schools in the state by the Palmetto's Finest Award Program. For a second time, our school earned the Palmetto Gold and Silver Award, a statewide award recognizing outstanding student academic improvement. We continued the nationally affiliated Renaissance Program that recognizes and rewards student's academic achievement.

Seneca High is a school rich in tradition. We have a history of producing quality graduates with the skills necessary for post secondary success. The Seneca High School staff continues its hard work, dedication, loyalty and caring for the students. The staff has served the community with Bobcat Pride since the founding of the school.

Our students once again excelled. Among our graduates are two national Merit Finalists, three State Academic Scholars, and seven Palmetto Fellows Scholars. The average 2002 SAT scores are well above the national average; seniors were offered almost 1.2 million dollars in scholarships; thirty percent were eligible for LIFE scholarships; 2003 BSAP Exit Exam scores continue to exceed the state average.

To better serve our student's needs we implemented three additional programs. First, we put in place an after school program for freshmen only. This program gave them additional assistance in English, Math, or both after school. Transportation and food were provided to the students who attended. Second, we implemented NOVA NET, a web based curriculum. Our students used this program as a course recovery system. Last, we introduced our staff to MAP (Measuring Academic Progress). MAP gives our core teachers an excellent tool for measuring achievement in English, Math, and Reading during the school year to share with parents and students.

Our students excelled in the arts and in athletic competitions. For the second year, SHS Honors Chorale was named 3A State Champion at the State Choral Festival. A large number of these students were also chosen for the SC All-State Chorus. Five art and choral students were accepted into the SC Governor's School for the Arts, our band received several Superior ratings in competition, and the Bobcat Battalion was designated as an Honor Unit with Distinction for the tenth consecutive year. Our boy's track team had been region champs for the last three out of the last four years, and our girl's track team was region champs last year. Our boy's golf team was region champions for 2004. Participating in the state playoffs were our girl's softball and girl's volleyball, boys and girl's soccer, and our football team.

There is a commitment to serve as illustrated by our student involvement in our Service Learning Program. Our students show a commitment in giving back to the community thousands of hours of service that has fostered and nurtured their growth.

Staff development continues to be a priority with our staff attending conferences, workshops addressing innovative teaching techniques, sensitivity training and diversity. We have eight Nationally Board certified teachers: Dr. Carolyn Ross, Fred Edgerton, Diane Owens, Chris White, Wanda Knight, Bill Willard, Al LeRoy, and Duke Lee.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS						
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*			
Number of surveys returned	59	170	28			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	89.5%	68.8%	82.1%			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	93.1%	74.4%	75.0%			
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	86.4%	81.0%	75.0%			
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools with	out grade 11, only	the highest grade	was included.			